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Abstract

The Visigothic judicial punishment known as decalvation has been widely studied for more than a century, yet there exists no general agreement concerning its exact nature. Scholars concur that decalvation involved a shameful mutilation of the head and hair, but there is disagreement about whether the punishment involved scalping or merely shaving one’s head. Some well-known texts seem to suggest scalping, but several little-known passages from Isidore of Seville and the *Lex Visigothorum* clearly indicate that enduring decalvation did not preclude one’s hair from growing back, and that decalvation could be inflicted on a malefactor more than once. Additionally, a thirteenth century Castilian translation of the *Lex Visigothorum* renders decalvation as *ráyanle la cabeza*, shaving the head. These and other medieval texts support my contention that in the Visigothic kingdom decalvation normally involved shaving the head, or perhaps shearing the hair very closely, but that it did not normally involve scalping.
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The earliest of the Medieval Spains was Visigothic Spain, which flourished for almost three centuries, until the realm was destroyed by a wave of Muslim invasions in the period 711-722. The Visigothic realm, which at its territorial peak in the sixth century stretched from Ceuta in north Africa to Arles in France, was irretrievably lost, although some of the Spanish realms that emerged as centers of resistance to Muslim rule, such as Asturias, asserted continuities between themselves and the Visigothic kingdom. The Muslim destruction of Visigothic Spain was so complete that little has survived from the Visigothic era that would allow historians to reconstruct a detailed narrative account of the realm: a few chronicles, king lists, a history of the reign of King Wamba, a few financial records, but not much else that pertains to the history of the kingdom. Moreover, the Visigothic cities are so overlain with Muslim and later medieval structures that the archaeology of Visigothic Spain has only begun with the last century, although tremendous advances have been made within the past forty years. What has survived from the Visigothic era of Spanish history pertains almost exclusively to the intellectual activities of the elite: canons from Church councils, law codes, letters, liturgical texts, and prodigious amounts of religious and philosophical writings by churchmen. Accordingly, any narrative history of Visigothic Spain is characterized by huge gaps in our information, gaps often partially illuminated by puzzles. One of these puzzles is the infamous Visigothic punishment of decalvation. This paper attempts to solve that puzzle.

Our tale of early medieval Spain and the western Mediterranean world of post-Roman Gaul, Iberia and North Africa, begins with the crime of treason, known and understood, and proceeds through the Visigothic judicial punishment of decalvation, frequently mentioned but
much less well understood by us moderns. The Visigothic kingdom in Spain and Gaul, which came into existence in the early fifth century and lasted until the Muslim conquest of Spain in the early Eighth century, was a realm afflicted with frequent rebellions. Contemporary chroniclers and historians such as John of Biclaro, Gregory of Tours, Isidore of Seville, and Julian of Toledo reported an almost incessant series of conspiracies, treasons, and rebellions among the Visigoths. Only two of these rebellions concern us: rebellions wherein the defeated rebels endured the mysterious punishment known as decalvation.

In John of Biclaro’s *Chronicle*, composed in the early 590s, we discover that in the year 590 Duke Argimund led an unsuccessful rebellion against King Recared. The king swiftly crushed this revolt and captured Duke Argimund, had him whipped, and had his right hand chopped off, whereupon the defeated rebel, described as “*turpiter devalvatus,*” was paraded through the streets of Toledo astride an ass, thereby providing a rather graphic example of what would happen to anyone who dared to lead a rebellion against the Visigothic king.⁶ Writing some 80 years later the bishop and historian Julian of Toledo described a rebellion against the King Wamba that occurred in the year 673, wherein a certain Duke Paul proclaimed himself king in northeastern Spain and southern Gaul. After an extensive campaign, described in detail by Julian of Toledo, King Wamba put down this rebellion. The defeated rebel Paul and his seditious followers, described as “*decalvatis capitibus,*” were publicly displayed in Toledo with their beards shorn, their feet bare, dressed in squalid clothing, and paraded about on camel-drawn carts,⁷ a very intense example of ritual public humiliation, of which decalvation was only one part. In neither of these famous instances of Visigothic judicial decalvation, however, are we told exactly what happened to the person subjected to this enigmatic punishment, except that it involved public shame and
diminishment of social status. Whatever the precise nature of *decalvatio*, and we know that at a minimum it involved removing one’s hair (and many scholars hold that it involved scalping), the malefactor was usually described as being paraded about in public spaces after decalvation as an object of derision and to reinforce the infamy and loss of face that had been laid upon him. Additionally, anyone who had either been religiously tonsured or endured judicial decalvation was prohibited from becoming king, thereby forestalling (at least in theory) any additional attempts at usurpation. Also, in the particular cases of Argimund and Paul, mentioned above, this public humiliation of defeated enemies was part and parcel of the triumphal entry into Toledo of Visigothic Kings, who were returning victoriously to their capitol city after crushing rebellion and usurpation.\(^8\) The purpose of this paper is to argue that, on the basis of unambiguous evidence, in the Visigothic kingdom in Spain and Gaul the judicial punishment known as decalvation normally involved shaving the head, or perhaps cropping the hair very closely, rather than scalping - and I shall present a few texts from the writings of Isidore of Seville and from the *Lex Visigothorum*\(^9\) that I believe can settle the matter.

Scholarly opinion concerning judicial decalvation in the Visigothic kingdom has long fallen into several patterns, patterns whose wide divergence reflects the ambiguous nature of the texts that are most frequently cited. Scholars such as Jean Hoyoux,\(^10\) Percy Ernst Schramm,\(^11\) P. D. King,\(^12\) Edward James,\(^13\) and Roger Collins\(^14\) have held that judicial decalvation involved scalping. Others, such as Floyd Seyward Lear,\(^15\) Katherine Fisher Drew,\(^16\) Robert S. Lopez,\(^17\) and Kenneth Baxter Wolf\(^18\) believed that judicial decalvation involved shaving the head, and not scalping. In 1874 the German historian Felix Dahn insisted that decalvation involved pulling out the hair by the roots.\(^19\) In 1910 the American
legal historian S. P. Scott argued that decalvation may have involved either scalping or shaving the head, depending on the social status of the malefactor and the severity of the crime. More recently, scholars such as Solomon Katz, E. A. Thompson, Michael McCormick, Alexander Bronisch, and Herwig Wolfram have considered the evidence to be so ambiguous that we cannot know precisely what occurred when someone endured judicial decalvation in the Visigothic realm. A candid example of this last school of thought comes from the late E. A. Thompson, who wrote in 1969, “I use the cowardly term ‘decalvation’ because I cannot decide whether the victim was scalped or whether he merely had his head shaved.” In 1990 Herwig Wolfram noted that “the meaning of the term has not been fully determined.” In September 1996 at a conference in San Marino on the Archaeoethnology of the Visigoths there was a brief but very useful discussion on the meaning of judicial decalvation. This discussion demonstrated that many of today’s most prominent Visigothologists incline towards explaining decalvation as shaving the head, but the proceedings also demonstrated that there is still no overall scholarly consensus, and there are no known proof texts. More recently, in 1999 Kenneth Baxter Wolf translated John of Biclaro’s deinde turpiter decalvatus as “then his head was shaved in disgrace” whereas in 2004 Roger Collins translated the same passage as “then [he] was scalped as a mark of his shame.” In 2005 Joaquín Martinez Pizarro noted that “whether decalvatio involved actual scalping or only a shameful and brutal shaving of the head remains a question,” although he suggested that the rebel Paul was shaved or shorn rather than scalped. My object is not to make sport of these different interpretations, far from it, but to reaffirm that significant difficulties remain concerning what Peter Heather calls this “vexed question,” particularly
as regards any proposed solution that is too cut and dry, or, as the case may be, too cut and bloody.

The absence of a scholarly consensus reflects the frustrating nature of the surviving evidence from the Visigothic realm in general, as mentioned at the outset. Moreover, the most frequently cited texts pertaining to judicial decalvation in the Visigothic realm, usually taken from chronicles or canons from Church councils, are themselves particularly ambiguous. This is almost certainly one of the reasons why there are differing scholarly opinions on decalvation, but, apart from casual discussions at professional conferences, no significant scholarly debate, and no consensus. One of my purposes in this article is to invoke and to apply a series of less frequently cited Visigothic era texts that refer to decalvation in contexts are either clear themselves, or that allow us resolve the ambiguities, thereby to achieve a clear understanding of what it was. That is, there indeed are proof texts, albeit in unexpected places.

The judicial punishment of decalvatio is decreed in some 29 articles of the Lex Visigothorum, where decalvation is imposed on persons who disrupt or subvert the order of the realm, perpetrated on slaves, and inflicted especially on Jews in the infamous laws promulgated by King Erwig in the late Seventh century. Nevertheless, the particulars of the punishment are never precisely spelled out in the Lex Visigothorum. Evidently the nature of decalvation was sufficiently well-known to contemporaries that no further words of explanation were deemed necessary. A scant handful of historical texts from the Visigothic period reporting the imposition of judicial decalvation has survived, as mentioned above, but none of the extant narrative accounts reveals to us what exactly happened during decalvation,
or what the victim looked like immediately after the punishment, although they do make it clear that the punishment was not necessarily fatal. Thus one would very much appreciate any reference to judicial decalvation from the Visigothic realm that tells us who the victim was, and which also allows us to understand, or at least to reconstruct, what happened to him during and after decalvation.

Looking further afield to the north-central Mediterranean, in early medieval Italy no clear description is to be found in the Lombard Laws, although King Liutprand (712-744) imposed judicial decalvation on thieves and upon roving bands of female gangsters. Yet once again we do not know the exact nature of the punishment. One should note at this point that modern Latin dictionaries, even those focusing on late antique or medieval Latin such as Souter or Niermeyer, are not particularly useful. They tend to focus on literary usage, and are deficient (at best) in their lexicon of early medieval Visigothic legal terminology. In the 1774 edition of Du Cange’s dictionary of medieval Latin, the word “decalvare” is glossed as *tondere, ad cutem caput radere*, but “decalvatio” is glossed by the more ambiguous *abscissionem capillorum*, which could mean either that the hair was simply removed or that it was torn out. Both the 1842 expanded edition of Du Cange by Henschel and the further expanded Du Cange of 1884 by Favre continue these glosses, offering many citations, but still no discussion, and no citations to Isidore. If even Du Cange leaves us with ambiguities, where are we to turn?

As already mentioned, an explicit description of decalvation is not to be found in the writings of John of Biclaro, nor is it to be found in the writings of Julian of Toledo, even though each of these historians describe decalvation as being executed upon a would-be
usurper. Even more frustrating, Isidore of Seville’s historical writings tell us nothing about decalvation, and in his Historia Gothorum Isidore does not even mention Argimund’s rebellion against Recared.\textsuperscript{40}

Although Isidore of Seville says nothing in his historical writings about decalvation, one can find some extremely useful information about this troublesome punishment in several of Isidore’s exegetical writings, including his Allegoriae Quaedam Sacrae Scripturae,\textsuperscript{41} his De Differentiis,\textsuperscript{42} in the Orto et Obitu Patrum,\textsuperscript{43} in Quaestiones in Vetus Testamentum,\textsuperscript{44} and even (although much less directly) in his De Ecclesiasticis Officiis.\textsuperscript{45} For our purposes, the most important of these passages occurs in Allegoriae ch. 81.\textsuperscript{46} I shall make use of several other passages from Isidore’s writings during the course of this paper, but Allegoriae 81, along with Lex Visigothorum book 12, title 03, law # 11,\textsuperscript{47} constitute my main proof texts.

In Allegoriae 81, Isidore wrote “Dalila ... Samson verticem decalvavit,”\textsuperscript{48} clearly indicating that whatever decalvation was, it happened to Samson under the ministrations of Dalila. Patristic and Rabbinic exegesis concerning what happened to Samson [in Judges 16.19-23] is clear: his head was shaved, or perhaps his hair was cropped extremely closely, but certainly he was not scalped, although as with the aforementioned Argimund and Paul, who endured decalvation in the Visigothic realm, Samson was subsequently paraded about in ridicule and mockery.\textsuperscript{49} Further, the removal of Samson’s locks occurred while he was sleeping,\textsuperscript{50} and so it could not have been painful. Also, Samson woke up as soon as Dalila called out to him,\textsuperscript{51} so he could not have been drugged during the process - again, this
suggests that decalvation was not painful. Finally, Samson’s hair grew back. Clearly, he was not scalped.

Isidore, who was extremely interested in the function, meaning and origin of words, undoubtedly chose the word *decalvavit* very carefully. Although used elsewhere in Scripture, the word was not used either in the Vulgate nor the *Vetus Latina* to describe what had been done to Samson; instead, the phrase used in scripture was *rasit septem crines ejus*. Thus, Isidore was not simply repeating a scriptural occurrence of the word, but instead was selecting the term for some particular purpose. Incidentally, given Isidore’s etymological theories and his frequent associations of very different words on the basis of spelling or pronunciation, Isidore probably chose the word *decalvavit* because it would have recalled the word Calvary, and Isidore frequently compared the sufferings of Samson to the agonies that Christ endured *in loco Calvariae*, additionally in *Allegoriae* 80 he writes that Samson is a typological figure of the death and victory of Christ. Also, the word *decalvatio* clearly was used in Isidore’s day to name the judicial punishment under discussion here. Although we cannot know if Isidore personally witnessed the decalvation of the rebel Argimund in 590, nevertheless Isidore had been a young man, perhaps twenty years old, at the time of Argimund’s punishment, and he certainly knew of the incident, and knew what had been done to the unfortunate rebel, if perhaps only by reading about it in John of Biclaro’s *Chronicle*. I submit that Isidore would not have used the word *decalvavit* in the context of the Samson and Dalila story if the judicial punishment of decalvation as normally inflicted in the Visigothic realm involved anything more permanent than shaving the head or cropping the hair very closely.
Further, Isidore did not believe that Samson had been scalped. In his *Orto et Obitu Patrum* ch. 31.2, Isidore describes Samson as *detonsis crinibus*,\textsuperscript{56} that is, his hair had been shaved off. In *Quaestiones in Vetus Testamentum, in Librum Judicum*, ch. 8.6, Isidore uses the phrase *caput raserit*, shaved his head.\textsuperscript{57} Although the word decalvation is not particularly common in Isidore’s writings, it does occur in a few passages where Isidore clearly meant shaving the head or cropping the hair short, but not scalping. In his *Quaestiones in Vetus Testamentum, in Deuteronomium*, [ch. 18.2, which is a gloss on Deuteronomy 21.10-13,] Isidore uses the word *decalvare* to describe how the Israelites shaved the heads of female captives before compelling them to become their wives.\textsuperscript{58} Elsewhere, in his *Differentiae* 1.130, Isidore links the word *decalvatio* to the practice of barbering, and notes that *caluus est natura; caluatus manu*.\textsuperscript{59} Further afield, and certainly more obliquely, in *De Ecclesiasticis Officiis* 2.4 and 2.17.3,\textsuperscript{60} Isidore recommends that penitents have their hair shorn closely (he does not use the word *decalvatio*) as an outward and visible sign of their guilt before God and man. There is perhaps no direct relevance in this last citation, but again, in Isidore’s day having one’s locks cropped was commonly associated both with public atonement for sins or guilt, and with public punishment for misdeeds.

Additionally, there is a rather slender but perhaps valid linguistic argument that can be made at this point. In *Allegoriae* 81, Isidore wrote “*verticem decalvavit*” rather than simply “*decalvavit*.” If the word *decalvatio* normally meant scalping, then the word “*verticem*” would have been quite redundant. If, on the other hand, *decalvatio* normally meant shaving, then “*verticem*” would have been a useful explanatory word, indicating to the reader exactly what part of the body was shaved. That is, by the way, exactly what Isidore did in *Quaestiones in Vetus Testamentum, in Librum Judicum*, ch. 8.6, where he used the phrase
caput raserit to describe what happened to Samson.\textsuperscript{61} Certainly this is stretching the evidence more than a little thin, but there is a similar explanatory word in Julian of Toledo’s Historia Wambae, where we find the phrase “decalvatis capitibus” applied to the rebel Paul.\textsuperscript{62} Why the redundant word capitibus? If decalvatio normally involved scalping, why then would careful writers such as Isidore of Seville and Julian of Toledo say “scalped his head” rather than just “scalped him.” Again, this particular line of argument is perhaps over-fragile, and so let us proceed to something a little more clear cut, as it were.

In the Lex Visigothorum, judicial decalvation was decreed for Jewish malefactors (or at least Jewish refuseniks) more often than for any other group in the Visigothic realm. It was prescribed against Jews for no less than fourteen different offenses, ranging from consanguinity in marriage\textsuperscript{63} or observing rabbinic dietary laws\textsuperscript{64} to owning Christian slaves\textsuperscript{65} or reading prohibited books.\textsuperscript{66} In the Lex Visigothorum book 12 title 3 law # 11, a late seventh century law of King Erwig (680-687), one learns that if Jews were discovered to be studying blasphemous or impious books, or if they were discovered to be teaching those books to children, they would be subjected to public decalvation. Moreover, and more importantly, if they repeated the offense they would undergo decalvation a second time.\textsuperscript{67} Unless human physiology has changed considerably in the last 1400 years, once a person has been scalped he stays scalped. That is, if judicial decalvation could be imposed on a malefactor more than once, then it necessarily involved considerably less than the permanent disfiguring that occurs when one is scalped. This supports my contention, based on Isidore’s use of the term, that in the Visigothic realm decalvation ordinarily involved shaving the head or cropping the hair very short, but it did not normally involve scalping.
Additionally, the *Lex Visigothorum* continued to be used in Iberia long after the fall of the Visigothic kingdom in the eighth century. In 1241 St. Fernando III of Castile (1217-1252) not only reissued a Latin text of the Visigothic code, a version commonly called the *Forum Judicum*, he also issued a Castilian translation, the *Fuero Juzgo*.68 Although the Latin text of the 13th century *Forum Judicum* is somewhat simplified when compared to the early medieval *Lex Visigothorum*, nevertheless in *Forum Judicum* book 12 title 03 law # 11 once again we see that Jews who are discovered to be studying or teaching blasphemous or impious books are to be subjected to decalvation, and if a Jew repeated the offense he would be subjected to decalvation a second time.69 This would suggest that even 540 years after the fall of the Visigothic kingdom the judicial punishment of decalvation as imposed by the *Lex Visigothorum* involved shaving the head or cropping the hair rather than scalping. Additionally, in St. Fernando’s Castilian translation of the *Forum Judicum* known as the *Fuero Juzgo* book 12 title 03 law # 11, as well as elsewhere in the Castilian text, *decalvatio* is translated by the phrase: *ráyanle la cabeza*, shaving the head.70

Decalvation, by the way, was not necessarily linked only to Visigothic hirsuteness, because it was inflicted upon Jews, slaves, and other persons as well. Decalvation would have been doubly offensive to the Visigoths, not only because they prized their long hair, but also because decalvation was a rather long-lasting punishment: it would take a considerable time for one's hair to grow back to its original length, hence the "perennial infamy" that the punishment imparted. Perhaps decalvation was also particularly offensive to Jewish men, not only because of the usual public disgrace involved, but also because it is possible that at this time some Jewish men were already wearing a distinctive hair style, perhaps even *peyos*. Additionally, if both Goths and Jews wore distinctive hairstyles as an outward and visible
sign of their membership in a particular community, then decalvation would also have been a way of stripping them temporarily of their kin-group identities, thereby imposing further disgrace and isolation on the malefactor.

These things having been said, one must concur with Professor Andreas Schwarcz and others who insist that any definition of decalvation in the Visigothic realm needs to take into account the absolute cranial mayhem imposed elsewhere in the early medieval Mediterranean, and described in Victor of Vita’s *Historia Persecutionis Africanae provinci*, book two chapter nine. In this gruesome passage Victor of Vita described a summary and occasionally fatal punishment that was inflicted in Vandalic North Africa during the Kingship of Huneric (477-484), sometime between the years 480 and 484, as part of Huneric’s campaign to discourage Vandal apostasy from the Arian heresy to the Catholic faith. The Arian King Huneric is said to have stationed tortores outside of Catholic churches in the Vandalic realm, commanding them to intercept anyone who looked like a Vandal and who was attempting to enter the church. These tortores would then thrust toothed instruments of some sort [palis minoribus dentatis] into the hair of any suspected Vandal apostate, and then, vehementius stringentes, rip the hair from the unfortunate man or woman’s head, tearing off large chunks of skin in the process. Victor recounted that sometimes so much skin was torn off the victims’ heads that they lost their eyes; other victims died of the pain. Those victims who survived were paraded around the streets of Carthage, *capitibus pelle nudatis*, as an example to others. The word *decalvatio* was not used anywhere in this account, and because this punishment occurred in the Vandal Kingdom, it is certainly not an example of Visigothic judicial decalvation. What then? This gruesome mutilation appears to have been a summary punishment inflicted exclusively on Vandal apostates from Arianism to
the Catholic faith, rather than a judicial punishment imposed on all malefactors for certain specific crimes, as occurred in the Visigothic realm, and as such this Vandalic punishment is quite different from what is found in the various versions of the Visigothic Code concerning decalvation. The narrative does, however, fit in very well with other stories Victor related that graphically illustrate the extreme cruelty and vindictiveness of the Vandals in the western Mediterranean. It also fits in with Victor’s many stories about the tortures and martyrdoms and miracles that occurred in North Africa during the Vandal persecution. In fact, one might suggest that this famous passage has much more to do with the tradition of North African martyrologies than it has to do with matters of Germanic or Visigothic law. It incidentally involves a grotesque mutilation of the hair and head, just as Victor’s other stories of torture and martyrdom incidentally involve mutilation of ribs or backs or foreheads, or stories which incidentally involve burnings at the stake. Thus, I cite the passage only to argue that it has little or no relevance to judicially imposed *decalvatio* in the Visigothic realm. But back to Visigothic Spain, to Isidore of Seville, to the *Lex Visigothorum*, and back to the Visigothic judicial punishment of *decalvatio*.

In summary, the *Lex Visigothorum* makes it clear that decalvation was a punishment which could be inflicted on a person more than once, which indicates shaving or shearing rather than scalping. Similarly, Isidore of Seville tells us that “*Dalila ... Samson verticem decalvavit,*” which, given our knowledge concerning what happened to Samson, and given what Isidore says about Samson elsewhere in his exegetical and linguistic writings, clearly gives us to understand that in the Visigothic realm decalvation meant shaving the head, or perhaps cropping the hair very closely, rather than scalping. That’s what happened to the unsuccessful rebel Argimund in the late sixth century, as described by John of Biclaro, and
that’s what happened to the unsuccessful rebel Duke Paul in the late seventh century, as described by Julian of Toledo.

On a final note, insight into the history of the Visigothic realm in the western Mediterranean may be greatly enhanced by making aggressive use of Isidore wherever possible, and not only for items of intellectual or religious history. Although historians have been quite willing to use Isidore’s *Etymologiae*, his *Chronicon*, and his *Historia Gothorum*, more attention needs to be paid to Isidore’s exegetical and devotional works, not only those mentioned above, but also his *Sententiae*, the *Synonyma*, and even his frequently maligned *De Fide Catholica Contra Judaeos*. Also, even though one might not accept Isidore’s etymological theories, nevertheless Isidore has written some extremely useful linguistic works, including *de Differentiis*, where pairs of words are compared and contrasted and analyzed in terms of meaning and usage. Also valuable is Isidore’s lengthy word list in *Etymologiae* Book Ten. Although some of his writings, particularly certain sections of the *Etymologiae*, may reflect “book learning” rather than the conditions of Isidore’s own day, there is also much in Isidore, such as the passages pertaining to decalvation, which can shed considerable light on troublesome aspects of Visigothic history. This is especially the case when we consider the specific words Isidore uses, as well as the meaning he explicitly imparts to them. In doing this, we can extend our understanding of various texts from seventh century Spania to include Isidore’s conceptual vocabulary. Jeremy Adams has performed pioneering work along these lines with regard to Isidore’s political vocabulary,77 but Isidorian scholarship in this area is just beginning. If Isidore’s exegetical and linguistic writings can be used to help solve the long-standing puzzle of decalvation, what other puzzles might they be useful in solving?
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